pec Received Alexander 29, 1993 @ 2:00 p. M. Donna a. Bradehaw

ORIGINAL

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554 IN RE APPLICATIONS OF: DARRELL BRYAN SBH PROPERTIES, INC. Tusculum, Tennessee RECEIVED JAN 1 D 1994 FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY PARTY OF COMPETENCE: December 16, 1993 VOLUME: 1			
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554 IN RE APPLICATIONS OF: DARRELL BRYAN SBH PROPERTIES, INC. Tusculum, Tennessee PECEIVED JAN 1 D 1994 FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SCONETIARY 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 DATE OF CONFERENCE: December 16, 1993 VOLUME: 1	1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	`
Washington, D.C. 20554 IN RE APPLICATIONS OF: DARRELL BRYAN SBH PROPERTIES, INC. TUSCULUM, Tennessee PECEINFD JAN 1 D 1994 FEDERAL COMMANCATURE COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 DATE OF CONFERENCE: December 16, 1993 VOLUME: 1	2		
IN RE APPLICATIONS OF: DARRELL BRYAN SBH PROPERTIES, INC. Tusculum, Tennessee PECE/VFD JAN 1 0 1994 FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 DATE OF CONFERENCE: December 16, 1993 VOLUME: 1	3		
DARRELL BRYAN SBH PROPERTIES, INC. Tusculum, Tennessee PECE/VFD JAN 1 D 1994 FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 DATE OF CONFERENCE: December 16, 1993 VOLUME: 1	4		
SBH PROPERTIES, INC. Tusculum, Tennessee PECEIVED JAN 1 0 1994 FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 DATE OF CONFERENCE: December 16, 1993 VOLUME: 1	5	IN RE APPLICATIONS OF:	
SBH PROPERTIES, INC. Tusculum, Tennessee PECEIVED JAN 1 D 1994 FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 DATE OF CONFERENCE: December 16, 1993 VOLUME: 1	6	DARRELL BRYAN MM DOCKET NO. 93-241	$\setminus $
9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 WATE OF CONFERENCE: December 16, 1993 VOLUME: 1	7		귀
JAN 1 D 1994	_	Tusculum, Tennessee RECEIVED	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 DATE OF CONFERENCE: December 16, 1993 VOLUME: 1	_	JAN 1 D 1994	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 DATE OF CONFERENCE: December 16, 1993 VOLUME: 1	10		
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 DATE OF CONFERENCE: December 16, 1993 VOLUME: 1	11	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY	ŀ
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 DATE OF CONFERENCE: December 16, 1993 VOLUME: 1	12		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 DATE OF CONFERENCE: December 16, 1993 VOLUME: 1	13		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 DATE OF CONFERENCE: December 16, 1993 VOLUME: 1	14		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 DATE OF CONFERENCE: December 16, 1993 VOLUME: 1	15		
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 DATE OF CONFERENCE: December 16, 1993 VOLUME: 1	16		
19 20 21 22 23 24 DATE OF CONFERENCE: December 16, 1993 VOLUME: 1	17		ł
20 21 22 23 24 DATE OF CONFERENCE: December 16, 1993 VOLUME: 1	18		
21 22 23 24 DATE OF CONFERENCE: December 16, 1993 VOLUME: 1	19		
22 23 24 DATE OF CONFERENCE: December 16, 1993 VOLUME: 1	20		
23 24 DATE OF CONFERENCE: December 16, 1993 VOLUME: 1	21		
DATE OF CONFERENCE: December 16, 1993 VOLUME: 1	22		
	23		
	24	DATE OF CONFERENCE: December 16, 1993 VOLUME: 1	
25 PLACE OF CONFERENCE: Washington, D.C. PAGE: 1-9	25	PLACE OF CONFERENCE: Washington, D.C. PAGE: 1-9	

	REA-	
1	Before the PEDERAL COMMISSION.)
2	Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION, Washington, D.C. 20554 JAN 1 0 1994	
3		
4	In re Applications of: OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY	OV
5	DARRELL BRYAN) MM DOCKET NO. 93-24	1
6	SBH PROPERTIES, INC.	
7	Tusculum, Tennessee	
8	The above-entitled matter come on for pre-hearing	ł
9	conference pursuant to Notice before Judge John M. Fryslak, Administrative Law Judge, at 2000 L Street, N.W., Washington	n,
10	D.C., in Courtroom No. 4, on Thursday, December 16, 1993, a 9:00 a.m.	t
11	APPEARANCES:	
12	On behalf of SBH Properties, Inc.:	
13		
14	GARY S. SMITHWICK, Esquire Smithwick & Belendiuk, P.C. 1990 M Street, N.W.	
15	Suite 510	
16	Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 785-2800	
17	On behalf of Darrell Bryan:	
18	J. RICHARD CARR, Esquire P.O. Box 70725	
19	Chevy Chase, Maryland 20813 (301) 656-7053	
20	On Behalf of Chief, Mass Media Bureau	
21		
22	ROBERT F. ZAUNER, Esquire 2025 M Street, Suite 7212	
23	Washington, D.C. 20554	
24		
25		

1	INDEX
2	
3	PAG
4	Opening Statement By Judge Frysiak
5	Statement by Mr. Carr
6	Statement by Mr. Smithwick 4
7	Statement by Mr. Zauner 5
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Hearing began: 9:00 a.m. Hearing Ended: 9:08 a.m.

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE FRYSIAK: This is a pre-hearing conference for
3	Tusculum, Tennessee. May we have your appearances noted for
4	the record?
5	MR. SMITHWICK: Your Honor, I'm Gary Smithwick of
6	Smithwick & Belendiuk and I'm sitting in for Timothy Brady,
7	counsel for SBH Properties, Inc.
8	MR. CARR: Richard Carr on behalf of Darrell Bryan,
9	Your Honor.
10	MR. ZAUNER: Robert A. Zauner on behalf of the
11	Chief, Mass Media Bureau.
12	JUDGE FRYSIAK: Well, we have a very short agenda
13	today, simply to discuss possible settlement and perhaps clear
14	up any problems you might have. The first item on the agenda
15	is the question of settlement.
16	MR. CARR: Your Honor, I received a fax yesterday
17	from Darrell Bryan which was a copy of a letter that he had
18	written on December 8th to Mr. William Seaver of SBH putting
19	forth two settlement options. I was unaware that the letter
20	had been written, Your Honor, and he tells me that he has not
21	yet had a response from Mr. Seaver. We don't even know
22	whether there will be a response, but he, he put forth a
23	proposal with two different settlement options. Other than
24	that, I don't think there has been any progress towards
25	settlement.

1	JUDGE FRYSIAK: Mr. Smithwick, do you have anything
2	to add?
3	MR. SMITHWICK: Your Honor, Mr. Brady briefed me on
4	the case and indicated that there had been an exploration of
5	settlement, but it has not been fruitful. I don't know if
6	that includes this letter from Mr. Bryan or not. I will talk
7	with Mr. Brady when the pre-hearing conference is over and ask
8	him to follow up on Mr. Carr's comment and, and we can advise
9	the Court if, if it's appropriate.
10	JUDGE FRYSIAK: Okay. Is there anything else for us
11	to discuss today?
12	MR. SMITHWICK: One well, I guess there's two
13	things. There's the joint engineering for one and then
14	there's one other point which I could raise after that. Or I
15	
16	MR. ZAUNER: Or
17	MR. SMITHWICK: Mr. Brady asked me to ask for the
18	Court's instruction on one particular point, that is he says
19	at this point he doesn't know if it will be necessary to
20	request a rebuttal session or to provide the rebuttal evidence
21	in the case. He doesn't know at this point. But he was
22	wondering what Your Honor's procedures would be in the event
23	he wants to request a rebuttal case. Should he ask you to
24	ask you for it in writing and do it orally and so forth? Can
25	vou provide any quidance?

1	JUDGE FRYSIAK: Well, I guess he won't know until he
2	sees the direct case.
3	MR. SMITHWICK: Right. That's correct.
4	JUDGE FRYSIAK: And I guess it's an issue that we
5	really can't address until hearing date, so if there's any
6	need for rebuttal, he can make his case orally as far as I'm
7	concerned at the hearing.
8	MR. SMITHWICK: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.
9	That's all from Mr. Brady.
10	MR. ZAUNER: Your Honor, the Hearing Designation
11	Order indicates that
12	JUDGE FRYSIAK: I can't hear you.
13	MR. ZAUNER: The Hearing Designation Order indicates
14	that there is a significant difference in the areas and
15	populations that each of these applicants would serve, so we
16	would request that a preliminary date be set for exchange of
17	engineering exhibit and that the parties be directed to
18	cooperate and obtain the joint engineering exhibit.
19	MR. CARR: Yes, I think that, that that would be
20	appropriate, Your Honor.
21	MR. SMITHWICK: No opposition here either.
22	JUDGE FRYSIAK: Mr. Zauner, I don't know whether
23	you've seen the recent pleadings filed by I guess it was
24	SBH. One of the issues that is asked by way of enlargement
25	against Bryan is a violation of a section concerning

1	unmodulated transmitter operation and unmonitored. I don't
2	see how that sits in with a within a comparative posture,
3	you know, that I'm about to decide. I think more the issues
4	that's Issue No. 1 and No. 2, I think, requested by SBH. I
5	think those issues go more to enforcement rather than to a
6	reflection on the, you know, proposed integration of the
7	applicant. I wonder whether you might take a look at that and
8	if you have any comments, why let me know.
9	MR. ZAUNER: Okay. Fine. I will do that.
10	JUDGE FRYSIAK: Those are the altogether seven
11	issues were requested. Only the two that I, that I am
12	concerned about are the first two which deal with the
13	unmodulated and unmonitored transmission on certain days in
14	1993, as well as excessive power. I think that was the, the
15	second one.
16	MR. ZAUNER: Has there been an opposition to that
17	filed?
18	JUDGE FRYSIAK: Pardon?
19	MR. ZAUNER: Has there been an opposition to that
20	filed?
21	JUDGE FRYSIAK: Yeah and a reply, as well.
22	MR. CARR: Yes and a reply.
23	JUDGE FRYSIAK: A reply has just been filed
24	recently.
25	MR. CARR: That's correct.

1	JUDGE FRYSIAK: But if you if the Bureau has any
2	comments on those two issues, I'd appreciate you filing them.
3	MR. ZAUNER: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. I'll
4	take a look at that.
5	JUDGE FRYSIAK: All right. Anything else? We have
6	a hearing set for March 1. Is that correct?
7	MR. CARR: That's correct, Your Honor.
8	JUDGE FRYSIAK: All right. There are pending
9	pleadings. I haven't had a chance to look at them except for
10	the first couple of issues that I've just raised now with Mr.
11	Zauner, but I'll get to them as soon as I can.
12	MR. CARR: There also was a supplement filed, Your
13	Honor, asking for an additional issue which I have not yet had
14	the opportunity to respond to.
15	JUDGE FRYSIAK: All right. To the enlargement it
16	was a supplement?
17	MR. CARR: There was a supplement filed.
18	JUDGE FRYSIAK: I think that was a final additional
19	issue
20	MR. CARR: That's correct.
21	JUDGE FRYSIAK: and that was failing to show a
22	public file
23	MR. CARR: That's correct, Your Honor.
24	JUDGE FRYSIAK: to a researcher.
25	MR. CARR: That's right, but we have not had an

1	opportunity to respond to that.
2	JUDGE FRYSIAK: All right. But that's that
3	wouldn't concern the Bureau.
4	MR. CARR: It does not concern the Bureau. That's
5	correct.
6	JUDGE FRYSIAK: Okay.
7	MR. SMITHWICK: Your Honor, were you going to set a
8	preliminary
9	JUDGE FRYSIAK: Pardon?
10	MR. SMITHWICK: a date for the preliminary
11	engineering or is that in an order that I'm not aware of?
12	JUDGE FRYSIAK: I didn't hear you.
13	MR. SMITHWICK: I thought I heard Mr. Zauner ask for
14	a date for exchange for the preliminary engineering.
15	JUDGE FRYSIAK: Oh.
16	MR. SMITHWICK: Did isn't that correct, Mr.
17	Zauner?
18	MR. CARR: Yes, Your Honor.
19	JUDGE FRYSIAK: Well, you want what, three weeks
20	time to look at it?
21	MR. CARR: Three weeks.
22	JUDGE FRYSIAK: What did we, what did we set the
23	time for, for petitions for the filing of exhibits? Let's
24	see. We've got January 27th. Have you got a calendar handy,
25	Mr. Zauner? What are three weeks before January 27th?

1	MR. CARR: January 27th is a Thursday.
2	JUDGE FRYSIAK: All right. January 6th, would that
3	that sound good?
4	MR. ZAUNER: That sounds fine.
5	JUDGE FRYSIAK: Is that a weekday? I guess it
6	should be.
7	MR. CARR: January 6th would be a Thursday also.
8	JUDGE FRYSIAK: All right. So we'll have we'll
9	require that the applicants file a preliminary joint
10	engineering exhibit on January 6th.
11	MR. SMITHWICK: Thank you, Your Honor.
12	JUDGE FRYSIAK: All right. If there's nothing
13	further, we stand adjourned then until the 1st of March.
14	Thank you very much.
15	MR. CARR: Thank you.
16	MR. SMITHWICK: Thank you, Your Honor.
17	(Whereupon, the hearing was adjourned at 9:08 a.m. on
18	December 16, 1993.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER, AND PROOFREADER

IN RE APPLICATIONS FOR TUSCULUM, TENNESSEE
MM DOCKET NO. 93-241 Docket No.
WASHINGTON, D.C. Place
DECEMBER 16, 1993 Date
We, the undersigned, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, numbers 1 through 9, inclusive, are the true, accurate and complete transcript prepared from the
reporting by <u>DAVID L. BECKER</u> in attendance at the above identified proceeding, in accordance with applicable provisions of the current Federal Communications Commission's professional verbatim reporting and transcription Statement of Work and have verified the accuracy of the transcript by (1) comparing the typewritten transcript against the reporting or recording accomplished at the proceeding and (2) comparing the
final proofed typewritten transcript against the reporting or recording accomplished at the proceeding.
Date Cheryl L. Phipps Transcriber Free State Reporting, Inc.
December 27, 1993 (1) (1) (1) (1)
Date Diane S. Windell , Proofreader Free State Reporting, Inc.
December 27, 1993 David I. Becker Reporter